PTO/SB/52 (07-03)

Approved for use through 01/31/2004. OMB 0651-0033

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

MAR 1 0 2005

RADEMA		Docket Number (optional)		
REISSUE APPLICATION DECLARATION BY THE ASS	IGNEE			
	ı	P69535US0		
I hereby declare that:				
The residence, mailing address and citizenship of the inventors are stated below.				
I am authorized to act on behalf of the following assignee:Coloplast Corp.				
and the title of my position with said assignee is: Research & Development				
The entire title to the patent identified below is vested in said assignee.				
nventor Citizenship		•		
Donald Lee Gerrish USA Residence/Mailing Address				
227 Woodshire Drive, Mankato, MN 5600l				
Inventor	C	itizenship		
Residence/Mailing Address				
Additional Inventors are named on separately numbere		ached hereto. tent Issued		
Patent Number 6 , 35 8 , 50 3		19, 2002		
Title of Invention	Marci	1 19, 2002		
Protectant Film for Skin				
I believe said inventor(s) to be the original and first inventor(s) of the subject matter which is described and claimed in said				
patent, for which a reissue patent is sought on the invention entitled:				
Ductoctont Bilm for Chin		• •		
Protectant Film for Skin				
the specification of which		•		
	•	·		
	•			
was filed on /				
and was amended on				
(If applicable)				
		•		
I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above ident	ified specific	cation, including the claims, as amended by any		
amendment referred to above.				
	444-b	Iller on defend in 27 OFD 4 50		
I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material	to patentab	lifty as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.		
I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119	9(a)-(d) or (f	or 365(b). Attached is form PTO/SB/02B		
(or equivalent) listing the foreign applications.	, (a) (b) (c)			
I verily believe the original patent to be wholly or partly inoperative or invalid, for the reasons described				
below. (Check all boxes that apply.)		•		
humana of a defeative an elifablica and describe				
by reason of a defective specification or drawing.				
by reason of the patentee claiming more or less than he had the right to claim in the patent.				
Sylvadori of the patentee diaming more of lead than he had the right to diam in the patent.				
by reason of other errors.		·		

[Page 1 of 2]
This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.175. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



PTO/SB/52 (07-03)

Approved for use through 01/31/2004. OMB 0651-0033

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

	Docket Number (Optional)		
REISSUE APPLICATION DECLARATION BY THE ASSIGNEE	P69535US0		
At least one error upon which reissue is based is described as follows:			
	·		
See Attached Sheet			
[Attach additional sheets, if neede	ed.]		
All errors corrected in this reissue application arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant.			
I hereby appoint:	mon on the part of the applicant.		
() () () () () () () () () ()			
Practitioners at Customer Number: 00136	*		
OR			
	•		
Practitioner(s) named below:	4.		
Name	Registration Number		
Trains			
	,		
·			
	•		
as my/our attorney(s) or agent(s) to prosecute the application identified above,	and to transact all business in the United		
States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.			
Correspondence Address: Direct all communications about the application to:			
007.00			
Customer Number: 00136	·		
OR			
Firm or			
Individual			
Address			
Address			
City State	Zip		
Country	,		
Telephone Fax			
I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true			
and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine and imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001, and that such willful			
false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this			
declaration is directed.			
Full name of person signing (given name, family name)			
Signature Donald Lee C	Gerrish Date		
Signature	3/12/04		
Address of Assignee			
1940 Commerce Drive North Mankato MN 56002			

Attachment Sheet to Reissue Declaration of Assignee

reason of the patentee claiming more or less than he had a right to claim in the patent. By this Reissue Application, Applicant presents and intends to present claims that are, in at least some respects, broader than the claims in the issued patent.

More particularly, at least one error upon which the Reissue Application is based is that during prosecution of the application which issued on March 19, 2002, as U.S. Patent No. 6,358,503, the full scope of the invention was not appreciated, resulting in the patentee having claimed less than he had a right to claim and rendering the patent wholly or partly inoperative. As an example of this error, the subject matter of claim 1 is narrower than what the patentee had a right to claim. Claim 1 was intended to present a formulation that would protect the skin and was not stinging when applied to the skin. What was not appreciated was that the additional limitation of a plasticizer was not necessary to accomplish the intended invention. In practice it was thought that a plasticizer was needed to keep the dry composition flexible but this was determined not to be the case.

Support for the new claims can be found in column 2, line 17 to line 46, and column 2, line 55 to column 3, line 18. While the ranges disclosed include plasticizer, it is clear that the ranges for the polymer and solvent elements are broad and theoretically can encompass a range where no plasticizer is used in the composition.